Journal of
Classical
& Analysis
www.ele-math.com

arXiv:1603.00722v2 [math.CA] 17 Sep 2016

INTEGRALS OF PRODUCTS OF HURWITZ ZETA FUNCTIONS AND THE CASIMIR EFFECT IN ϕ^4 FIELD THEORIES

M. A. SHPOT, M. P. CHAUDHARY AND R. B. PARIS

Submitted to J. Classical. Anal.

Abstract. We evaluate two integrals over $x \in [0,1]$ involving products of the function $\zeta_1(a,x) \equiv \zeta(a,x) - x^{-a}$ for $\Re(a) > 1$, where $\zeta(a,x)$ is the Hurwitz zeta function. The evaluation of these integrals for the particular case of integer $a \geq 2$ is also presented. As an application we calculate the $O(g)$ weak-coupling expansion coefficient $c_1(\varepsilon)$ of the Casimir energy for a film with Dirichlet-Dirichlet boundary conditions, first stated by Symanzik [*Schrödinger representation and Casimir effect in renormalizable quantum field theory,* Nucl. Phys. B **190** (1981) 1-44] in the framework of $g\phi^4_{4-\varepsilon}$ theory.

1. Introduction

The Hurwitz zeta function $\zeta(a,x)$, see (1), is one of the most fundamental functions in mathematics. It has important applications, for example, in number theory [2, 3], probability theory [18] and in the evaluation of mathematical constants [13]. This function also finds application in numerous areas of mathematical physics. Examples are in the evaluation of functional determinants and in gases of free electrons in the presence of a magnetic field, calculations of the Casimir effect [7] in quantum field theory and its analogues in statistical physics and thermal field theory at high temperatures; see e.g. [25, 10, 19, 24] for extensive lists of physical examples.

The Hurwitz zeta function is defined by the series [15, p. 89], [32, Ch. 13]

$$\zeta(a,x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+x)^a} \qquad (\Re(a) > 1;\ x \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots) \tag{1}$$

and elsewhere by analytic continuation, apart from $a = 1$, where it has a simple pole with unit residue (see (5) below). In its convergence domain, the series (1) converges absolutely and uniformly. The function $\zeta(a,x)$ reduces to the Riemann zeta function when $x = 1$, viz.

$$\zeta(a,1) = \zeta(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^a} \qquad (\Re(a) > 1),$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 11M35, 11B68, 33B15, 33E20.

Keywords and phrases: Hurwitz zeta function; integrals; Feynman integrals; Casimir energy.

The authors wish to acknowledge M. Katsurada for pointing out the result stated in (22). MAS and MPC are grateful to Professors H.M. Srivastava and J. Choi for giving their opinion on an early draft of the paper. Part of the work of MAS was carried out at the Fakultät für Physik of the Universität Duisburg-Essen and he is grateful to Prof. H.W. Diehl for his warm hospitality and financial support.

and as $x \to 0^+$, its behavior is singular described by $\zeta(a,x) \sim x^{-a}$.

Before proceeding, we record some necessary preliminary results related to $\zeta(a,x)$. By a straightforward manipulation of the above series definitions it is easy to obtain the identity

$$\zeta(a,2) = \zeta(a) - 1, \tag{2}$$

which will be used below. It is worth quoting here the Wilton formula (see, for example, [16, Eq. (8)] or [30, p. 248, Eq. (7)] and references therein)

$$\zeta(a,b-z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{k!}\, \zeta(a+k,b)\, z^k \qquad (|z| < |b|,\ a \neq 1), \tag{3}$$

where $(a)_k$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol

$$(a)_k = \frac{\Gamma(a+k)}{\Gamma(a)} = a(a+1)\ldots(a+k-1), \qquad (0)_0 = 1. \tag{4}$$

The relation (3) can be regarded as a Taylor expansion of the function on the left-hand side in powers of z and will be used below in the special cases $b = 1$ and $b = 2$. At $a = 1$, which is forbidden in (3), the poles of the Hurwitz functions cancel on both sides due to the Laurent expansion [30, p. 159, Eq. (15)]

$$\zeta(1+\varepsilon,z) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Big\{1 - \varepsilon\psi(z) + O(\varepsilon^2)\Big\}. \tag{5}$$

This transforms the formula (3) to

$$\psi(b-z) = \psi(b) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \zeta(1+k,b)\, z^k \qquad (|z| < |b|), \tag{6}$$

where $\psi(b) = \Gamma'(b)/\Gamma(b)$ is the psi-function or logarithmic derivative of the Euler gamma function.

Integrals of Hurwitz zeta functions with respect to the variable x have been discussed by several authors [23, 16, 11, 12]. In [11][1] (see also [27]), the Hurwitz transform

$$\int_0^1 f(x)\zeta(a,x)\, dx$$

was considered for a variety of functions $f(x)$, including integer powers of x, trigonometric, exponential, and other functions. In [23], the evaluation of the mean value integral $\int_0^1 |\zeta_1(a,x)|^2 dx$ was initiated. This problem has been considered further in [1, 20, 21, 17] and papers cited therein, in a number-theoretic context when $a = \frac{1}{2} + it$ and $t \to \pm\infty$. In this last integral, the auxiliary zeta function $\zeta_1(a,x)$ appears, obtained by splitting the singular zeroth term from $\zeta(a,x)$:

$$\zeta_1(a,x) = \zeta(a,x) - x^{-a}. \tag{7}$$

[1] This reference contains a short review of availability of such integrals in standard tables of integrals.

The advantage of the function [23]

$$\zeta_1(a,x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(k+x)^a}=\zeta(a,x+1)\qquad(\Re(a)>1)\tag{8}$$

is (cf. (1)) that it is continuous in the whole interval $x\in[0,1]$. Note that $\zeta_1(a,0)=\zeta(a)$. It is easy to deduce from the Wilton formula (3) an analogous Taylor expansion for $\zeta_1(a,b-z)$ by using its definition in (7) and employing the binomial expansion in the term $(b-z)^{-a}$. In the special case of $b=1$, to be considered below, this reads

$$\zeta_1(a,1-z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_k}{k!}\{\zeta(a+k)-1\}z^k\qquad(|z|\le 1,\ a\ne 1)\,.\tag{9}$$

Note that the last formula has a well-defined limit as $z\to 1$ with the left-hand side reducing to $\zeta(a)$, whereas both sides of (3) diverge at $z=b$.

We shall also use the integral representation for the function $\zeta_1(a,x)$ given by

$$\zeta_1(a,x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(a)}\int_0^{\infty}\frac{t^{a-1}e^{-xt}}{e^t-1}\,dt\qquad(\Re(a)>1)\,,\tag{10}$$

which follows from the standard formula [15, p. 90] (or, for example, [30, p. 155, Eq. (2)] with $a\mapsto x+1$).

In the present article we obtain expressions for the integrals involving products of two auxiliary zeta functions ζ_1, namely

$$\int_0^1\zeta_1(a,x)\zeta_1(b,x)\,dx\qquad\text{and}\qquad\int_0^1\zeta_1(a,x)\zeta_1(b,1-x)\,dx$$

for $\Re(a,b)>1$. The first integral has been given in [1, Eq. (5)][2] and [21, Corollary 4]; here we give a different derivation. Both evaluations of the above integrals present apparent singularities at integer values of $a,b\ge 2$ and require a limiting procedure to determine their values for these special values of the parameters.

As an application of the above two integrals, we give a derivation of the Casimir amplitude resulting from the attraction of two infinite parallel planes with Dirichlet boundary conditions. This result was stated without proof in [31, p. 12] and has been derived subsequently by other means in [26].

Finally, we note that integrals of the same form have been calculated very recently in [14]. They involve the alternating counterpart [8, Eq. (1.5)] of the Hurwitz zeta function,

$$\zeta_E(a,x):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^k}{(k+x)^a}\qquad(\Re(a)>0;\ x\ne 0,-1,-2,\ldots).$$

Its relation to the usual $\zeta(a,x)$ is [14, Eq. (1.6)], [8, Eq. (1.6)]

$$\zeta_E(a,x)=2^{1-a}\,\zeta\Big(a,\frac{x}{2}\Big)-\zeta(a,x)=2^{-a}\Big[\zeta\Big(a,\frac{x}{2}\Big)-\zeta\Big(a,\frac{x+1}{2}\Big)\Big].$$

[2] The sign at the second term on the right-hand side of [1, Eq. (5)] is misprinted. The correct contribution is $-1/(1-z-w)$.

2. The integral of a product of auxiliary Hurwitz zeta functions

We first consider the integral

$$I(a,b) := \int_0^1 \zeta_1(a,x)\zeta_1(b,x)\,dx, \tag{11}$$

where the parameters a and b satisfy $\Re(a,b) > 1$. This region comes from the condition that the simple series definition (8) of the functions $\zeta_1(a,x)$ and $\zeta_1(b,x)$ exists, and there is no additional constraint due to the convergence of the integral in (11). The integrand is a smooth integrable function everywhere in the integration region $[0,1]$. This integral has been evaluated in [1, Eq. (5)] (see footnote 2) and [21, Corollary 4] with $a,b \neq 2,3\ldots$. The main motivation for taking different parameters a and b in the integrand of (11) has been in evaluation of the "mean-square" of the Hurwitz zeta function, when a and b are complex conjugate numbers, especially, $a = b^* = \frac{1}{2} + it$ (see [23, 1, 20, 21, 17]). In view of the importance of this problem and for completeness in presentation, we give here a different method of proof.

As in [21] (cf. [21, Eq. (3.1)]), we start by using Atkinson's dissection [4] to split the double summation in $\zeta_1(a,x)\zeta_1(b,x) = \sum_{k,n\geq 1}(\cdot)$ into parts with $k = n$, $k < n$, and $k > n$, which yields

$$\zeta_1(a,x)\zeta_1(b,x) = \zeta_1(a+b,x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{\zeta_1(a,n+x)}{(n+x)^b} + \frac{\zeta_1(b,n+x)}{(n+x)^a}\right\} \qquad (\Re(a,b) > 1).$$

Thus we find

$$\int_0^1 \zeta_1(a,x)\zeta_1(b,x)\,dx = \int_0^1 \zeta_1(a+b,x)\,dx + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_0^1\left\{\frac{\zeta_1(a,n+x)}{(n+x)^b} + \frac{\zeta_1(b,n+x)}{(n+x)^a}\right\}dx, \tag{12}$$

where the first term on the right-hand side is straightforward and given by

$$\int_0^1 \zeta_1(a+b,x)\,dx = \frac{1}{a+b-1} \qquad (\Re(a+b) > 1). \tag{13}$$

The second and third terms of (12) are similar and so it suffices to present the details of only one of these terms. Choosing to evaluate the third term, we can use the integral representation (10) for the function ζ_1 and the Laplace integral

$$(n+x)^{-a} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)}\int_0^{\infty} y^{a-1}e^{-(n+x)y}\,dy \qquad (\Re(a) > 1).$$

Substitution of these results then yields

$$\begin{aligned} S &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_0^1 \frac{\zeta_1(b,n+x)}{(n+x)^a}\,dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty} y^{a-1}\int_0^{\infty} t^{b-1}\frac{e^{-n(t+y)}}{e^t-1}\left\{\int_0^1 e^{-x(t+y)}\,dx\right\}dt\,dy. \end{aligned}$$

Making use of the evaluations

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n(t+y)} = \frac{1}{e^{t+y}-1} = \frac{e^{-(t+y)}}{1-e^{-(t+y)}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_0^1 e^{-x(t+y)}\,dx = \frac{1-e^{-(t+y)}}{t+y},$$

and noting that the factors $1-e^{-(t+y)}$ cancel[3] in the product of these two results, we can write the above expression as

$$S = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{b-1}e^{-t}}{e^t-1} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \frac{y^{a-1}e^{-y}}{t+y}\,dy \right\} dt = \frac{1}{\Gamma(b)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{a+b-2}}{e^t-1}\,\Gamma(1-a,t)\,dt, \tag{14}$$

where the integral over y has been evaluated in terms of the incomplete gamma function given by [29, Eq. (8.6.4)]

$$\Gamma(1-a,t) = \frac{t^{1-a}e^{-t}}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{y^{a-1}e^{-y}}{t+y}\,dy \qquad (\Re(a) > 0).$$

Now we take into account that

$$\Gamma(1-a,t) = \Gamma(1-a) - \gamma(1-a,t)$$

where $\gamma(a,x)$ denotes the lower incomplete gamma function. Performing the integral over t in (14) related to the first term of this last equation, we obtain by (10)

$$S = B(a+b-1,1-a)\,\zeta(a+b-1) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(b)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{a+b-2}}{e^t-1}\,\gamma(1-a,t)\,dt,$$

where $B(x,y)$ is the beta function

$$B(x,y) = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}. \tag{15}$$

In the remaining integral over t we use the series expansion [29, Eq. (8.5.1)]

$$\gamma(1-a,t) = t^{1-a}e^{-t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{(1-a)_{n+1}} \qquad (|t| < \infty),$$

where again $(a)_k$ is the Pochhammer symbol (see (4)). So,

$$\begin{aligned} S &= B(a+b-1,1-a)\,\zeta(a+b-1) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(b)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-a)_{n+1}} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{b+n-1}e^{-t}}{e^t-1}\,dt \\ &= B(a+b-1,1-a)\,\zeta(a+b-1) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b)_n}{(1-a)_{n+1}}\,\zeta(b+n,2) \end{aligned}$$

by application of (10) again. Finally this leads us, via (2) and (12), to the following theorem:

[3] It is actually this cancellation that allowed us to easily finish the calculation. There has been no such cancellation in our attempts to calculate the integral $J(a,b)$, considered in the next section, by employing Atkinson's dissection in the product $\zeta_1(a,x)\zeta_1(b,1-x)$ in its integrand. For this reason we had to resort to alternative means in the evaluation of $J(a,b)$ in Section 3.

THEOREM 1. *For $\Re(a,b)>1$, we have the evaluation*

$$\int_0^1 \zeta_1(a,x)\zeta_1(b,x)dx = \frac{1}{a+b-1} + \Big\{B(a+b-1,1-a)+B(a+b-1,1-b)\Big\}\zeta(a+b-1)$$
$$-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_n}{(1-b)_{n+1}}\{\zeta(a+n)-1\} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(b)_n}{(1-a)_{n+1}}\{\zeta(b+n)-1\}. \quad (16)$$

Though derived for $\Re(a,b)>1$, this result provides the analytic continuation of $I(a,b)$ into the whole complex a, b planes except at $a=1$ and $b=1$ since both sides of (16) are analytic functions of a and b, apart from $a=1$, $b=1$ where there is a double pole.

REMARK 1. The second term in (16) coincides with the similar Mikolás integral of the product of *Hurwitz* zeta functions (cf. [21, Eq. (6.4)], [1, Eq. (2)], [11, Eq. (3.2)])

$$\int_0^1 \zeta(a,x)\zeta(b,x)\,dx = \{B(a+b-1,1-a)+B(a+b-1,1-b)\}\,\zeta(a+b-1)$$

valid for $\Re(a),\Re(b),\Re(a+b)<1$.

The expression on the right-hand side of (16) presents apparent singularities when $a,b=2,3,\ldots$ due to the presence of the factors $\Gamma(1-a)$, $(1-a)_{n+1}$ and $\Gamma(1-b)$, $(1-b)_{n+1}$ in the last three terms. However, when $a=b$ these singularities cancel to leave a regular function. The value of $I(a,b)$ when $a=b=m$ at integer values of $m\geq 2$ is obtained by a limiting process and is considered in the appendix; see also [22]. This yields

THEOREM 2. *For integer $m=2,3,\ldots$, we have the evaluation*

$$\int_0^1 \zeta_1^2(m,x)\,dx = \frac{1}{2m-1} + \frac{2(-)^m}{\Gamma^2(m)}\Big\{\Gamma(2m-1)Z(m) \quad (17)$$
$$-\sum_{n=m-1}^{\infty}\frac{(n+m-1)!}{(n-m+1)!}\,\psi(n+2-m)\,\{\zeta(n+m)-1\}\Big\}$$
$$+\frac{2}{\Gamma^2(m)}\sum_{n=0}^{m-2}(-)^n\,(n+m-1)!\,(m-n-2)!\,\{\zeta(n+m)-1\},$$

where

$$Z(m) := \psi(2m-1)\zeta(2m-1)+\zeta'(2m-1)$$

and the prime on the zeta function denotes its derivative.

It is readily shown that this result is equivalent to that obtained in [22, Theorem 3].

3. The integral of a product of auxiliary Hurwitz zeta functions with complementary arguments

The second integral we consider is

$$J(a,b) := \int_0^1 \zeta_1(a,x)\zeta_1(b,1-x)\,dx \qquad (a,b \neq 1). \tag{18}$$

As in the case of $I(a,b)$ in (11), the integrand in $J(a,b)$ is regular in the whole integration range $x \in [0,1]$ and the integral converges for any allowed values of a and b. Following the approach of Andersson [1], we first evaluate the integral (18) for $0 < \Re(a,b) < 1$ and then extend it into $\Re(a,b) > 1$ by analytic continuation. The result we obtain again presents apparent singularities at $a, b = 2,3,\ldots$; the value of $J(a,b)$ in the special case $a = b$ for integer values of a is derived by a limiting process similar to that in the appendix.

3.1. Evaluation of $J(a,b)$

In a similar manner to that employed in [1], we rewrite the integral $J(a,b)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} J(a,b) &= \int_0^1 \left[\zeta(a,x) - x^{-a}\right]\left[\zeta(b,1-x) - (1-x)^{-b}\right] dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \zeta(a,x)\zeta(b,1-x)\,dx - \int_0^1 \{x^{-a}\zeta_1(b,1-x) + x^{-b}\zeta_1(a,1-x)\}\,dx \\ &\qquad - \int_0^1 x^{-a}(1-x)^{-b}\,dx. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

An explicit expression for the first integral on the right-hand side of (19) can be obtained from the result derived in [11, Eq. (3.4)] given by

$$\int_0^1 \zeta(a,x)\zeta(b,1-x)\,dx = B(1-a,1-b)\zeta(a+b-1) \tag{20}$$

for the region $\Re(a) \leq 0$, $\Re(b) \leq 0$, where $B(x,y)$ is the beta function defined in (15). The right-hand side of (20) can be extended to the domain $\Re(a) < 1$, $\Re(b) < 1$ by analytic continuation; hence (20) is valid for $\Re(a) < 1$ and $\Re(b) < 1$.

To calculate the second integral in (19) we use the Taylor expansion (9) and perform a term-by-term integration. This gives (with $0 < \Re(a,b) < 1$)

$$\int_0^1 x^{-a}\zeta_1(b,1-x)\,dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b)_n}{n!}\,\frac{\zeta(b+n)-1}{1-a+n}. \tag{21}$$

A similar result holds for the third integral in (19) with a and b interchanged.

Finally, evaluation of the last integral on the right-hand side of (19) as $B(1-a,1-b)$ (when $0 < \Re(a,b) < 1$) then yields the result:

THEOREM 3. *When* $0 < \Re(a,b) < 1$, *we have the evaluation*

$$\int_0^1 \zeta_1(a,x)\zeta_1(b,1-x)\,dx = B(1-a,1-b)\{\zeta(a+b-1)-1\} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{n!}\,\frac{\zeta(a+n)-1}{n+1-b} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b)_n}{n!}\,\frac{\zeta(b+n)-1}{n+1-a}\,. \tag{22}$$

Although calculated for $0 < \Re(a,b) < 1$, *this result provides the analytic continuation of* $J(a,b)$ *into* $\Re(a,b) > 1$ *since both sides of (22) are analytic functions of* a *and* b, *except at* $a = 1$, $b = 1$ *where there is a double pole.*

Again, the expression on the right-hand side of (22) presents apparent singularities at $a,b = 2,3,\ldots$, but these will be shown to cancel when $b = a$ to leave a regular function of a when $\Re(a) > 1$.

REMARK 2. The integral in (21) is the counterpart of the similar integral

$$\int_0^1 x^{-a}\zeta_1(b,x)\,dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b)_n}{(1-a)_{n+1}}\{\zeta(b+n)-1\} \qquad (\Re(a) < 1,\ b \neq 1,0,-1,-2,\ldots) \tag{23}$$

obtained in [1, Eq. (4)].

3.2. Evaluation of $J(a,a)$ for integer $a \geq 2$

We consider the special case of $J(a,b)$ defined in (18) when $a = b$. We let $a = m+\varepsilon$, where $m = 2,3,\ldots$, and consider the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$. Using the definition of the beta function (15), we find from (22)

$$J(m,m) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left\{ \frac{\Gamma^2(1-m-\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(2-2m-2\varepsilon)}\{\zeta(2m-1+2\varepsilon)-1\} - 2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+\varepsilon)_n}{n!}\,\frac{\zeta(n+m+\varepsilon)-1}{n+1-m-\varepsilon}\right\}. \tag{24}$$

Extracting the singular term from the sum, we can then write

$$J(m,m) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} D(m+\varepsilon) - 2{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}}' \frac{(m)_n}{n!}\,\frac{\zeta(n+m)-1}{n+1-m}, \tag{25}$$

where the prime on the summation sign denotes the omission of the term corresponding to $n = m-1$ and we have defined

$$D(m+\varepsilon) := \frac{\Gamma^2(1-m-\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(1-s-2\varepsilon)}\{\zeta(s+2\varepsilon)-1\} + \frac{2\Gamma(s+\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon\,\Gamma(m)\Gamma(m+\varepsilon)}\{\zeta(s+\varepsilon)-1\}.$$

In writing $D(m+\varepsilon)$ in the above form we have used the definition (4) for the Pochhammer symbol $(m+\varepsilon)_{m-1}$ appearing in the $n = (m-1)$th term of the sum in (24) and temporarily introduced the shorthand $s := 2m-1 \geq 3$.

When expanding $D(m+\varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon \ll 1$, we use the well-known small-parameter expansions of the Euler gamma function

$$\Gamma(z+\varepsilon) = \Gamma(z)\{1+\varepsilon\psi(z)+O(\varepsilon^2)\} \tag{26}$$

where $\psi(z)$ denotes again the psi-function, and, in particular,

$$\Gamma(-p+\alpha) = \frac{(-)^p}{p!\,\alpha}\{1+\alpha\psi(p+1)+O(\alpha^2)\} \tag{27}$$

if p is a non-negative integer. We need these two formulas with $z=s$, $z=m$, and $p=s-1$, $p=m-1$, respectively. By a straightforward calculation we see immediately that the pole contributions

$$\pm\frac{2\Gamma(s)}{\varepsilon\Gamma^2(m)}\{\zeta(s)-1\}$$

of the two terms in $D(m+\varepsilon)$ mutually cancel, and we are left with their finite parts which combine to yield

$$D(m+\varepsilon) = -\frac{2\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma^2(m)}\Big\{[\psi(s)-\psi(m)]\,[\zeta(s)-1]+\zeta'(s)+O(\varepsilon)\Big\}.$$

Hence we obtain, by recalling (25) and $s=2m-1$,

THEOREM 4. *For $m=2,3,\ldots$, we have the evaluation*

$$\int_0^1 \zeta_1(m,x)\zeta_1(m,1-x)\,dx = \frac{2\Gamma(2m-1)}{\Gamma^2(m)}\Big\{[\psi(m)-\psi(2m-1)]\,[\zeta(2m-1)-1]-\zeta'(2m-1)\Big\} - 2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}{}' \frac{(m)_n}{n!}\,\frac{\zeta(n+m)-1}{n+1-m}, \tag{28}$$

where the prime on the summation denotes the omission of the term corresponding to $n=m-1$.

4. An application: The Casimir amplitude up to O(g) in the $g\phi^4$ field theory

In 1981, Symanzik [31] proved the finiteness of the Casimir energy[4] $E(g,L,\mu,\varepsilon)$ of a pair of parallel plates with Dirichlet boundary conditions to all orders in the perturbation expansion of the massless $g\mu^\varepsilon\phi_d^4$ theory. He considered the (super) renormalizable Euclidean scalar ϕ^4 field theory with the dimensionless coupling constant g in $d=4-\varepsilon$ space dimensions for $\varepsilon\in[0,1)$. The $d-1$ dimensional parallel boundary plates are separated by the distance L. An arbitrary momentum scale μ of dimension

[4]This is a counterpart of the interaction energy between two parallel conducting plates due to zero-point energy fluctuations of the electromagnetic field calculated by Casimir in 1948 [7]. For more extended information and various applications the interested reader may be referred to, for example, [25, 19, 5].

[length]$^{-1}$ is introduced into the theory; it combines, for example, with the slab thickness L to form the dimensionless combination μL. The position vector in the slab $\boldsymbol{x} = (\boldsymbol{r}, z)$ consists of two components: the vector $\boldsymbol{r} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ is parallel to the boundary planes, whereas $z \in [0, L]$ is the one-dimensional coordinate running in the direction perpendicular to them.

The Casimir energy density has been given in [31, Eq. (4.5b)] as an infinite series

$$E(g, L, \mu, \varepsilon)/A = L^{-(d-1)} \Big[c_0(\varepsilon) + c_1(\varepsilon) \bar{g}(g, \mu L, \varepsilon) + O(\bar{g}^2) \Big] \tag{29}$$

in powers of the running coupling constant $\bar{g} = \bar{g}(g, \mu L, \varepsilon)$ with computable coefficients $c_n(\varepsilon)$, where A is the area of the boundary planes. The coefficient $c_0(\varepsilon)$ corresponds to the one-loop contribution of the perturbation theory given by the Feynman diagram . The value of $c_0(\varepsilon)$ can be found in [31, Eq. (4.4)],

$$c_0(\varepsilon) = -(4\pi)^{-d/2} \Gamma(d/2) \zeta(d),$$

along with a sketch of its derivation. Its expansion up to the first order in ε is

$$c_0(\varepsilon) = -\frac{\pi^2}{1440} \left\{ 1 + \Big[\frac{\gamma - 1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln(4\pi) - \frac{\zeta'(4)}{\zeta(4)} \Big] \varepsilon \right\} + O(\varepsilon^2), \tag{30}$$

where $\gamma = 0.57721\ldots$ is Euler's constant.

The coefficient $c_1(\varepsilon)$ comes from the two-loop, $O(g)$ Feynman diagram . This is given by the expression (see [31, Eq. (4.6)])

$$L^{-3+\varepsilon} c_1(\varepsilon) \bar{g}(g, \mu L, \varepsilon) = \tfrac{1}{8} g \mu^{\varepsilon} \Bigg[\int_0^L \Big\{ \big[G_D^L(0; z, z) - G_b(0) \big]^2 - \big[G_D(0; z, z) - G_b(0) \big]^2$$

$$- \big[G_D(0; L-z, L-z) - G_b(0) \big]^2 \Big\} dz - 2 \int_L^{\infty} \big[G_D(0; z, z) - G_b(0) \big]^2 dz \Bigg] + O(g^2). \tag{31}$$

To explain the functions appearing in the integrand it is useful to start with the free-field propagator (Green function) of the massless ϕ_d^4 theory in the infinite d dimensional space, $G_b(\boldsymbol{x})$. It is given by

$$G_b(x) = C x^{-2+\varepsilon} \qquad \text{with} \qquad C = \tfrac{1}{4} \pi^{-2+\varepsilon/2} \Gamma(1 - \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon),$$

where x is the distance between any two points in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Its (infinite) value at $x = 0$ is subtracted from each term of (31). Further, the $G_D(\boldsymbol{r}; z_1, z_2)$ is the Dirichlet propagator in the semi-infinite space confined by a single boundary plane. It is given by

$$G_D(r; z_1, z_2) = G_b\left(r; |z_1 - z_2|\right) - G_b\left(r; z_1 + z_2\right),$$

where $r = |\boldsymbol{r}_1 - \boldsymbol{r}_2|$ is the "parallel" distance between the points $\boldsymbol{x}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_2$. Its explicit expression, though in somewhat different notation, is given in [31, Eq. (2.13)]. Finally, the Dirichlet-Dirichlet Green function in the film geometry described at the beginning of the present section is given by (compare with the previous formula)

$$G_D^L(r; z_1, z_2) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \Big[G_b\left(r; |z_1 - z_2 + 2Ln|\right) - G_b\left(r; z_1 + z_2 + 2Ln\right) \Big].$$

Again, the "expanded" version of this formula can be found in [31, Eq. (4.7)].

For coincident points x_1 and x_2 inside the slab, which is needed to construct the $O(g)$ graph $\bigcirc\!\!\bigcirc$, we have for the combination in the first square brackets in (31) [31, Eq. (4.8)]

$$G_D^L(0;z,z) - G_b(0) = C\,(2L)^{-2+\varepsilon}\,[2\zeta(2-\varepsilon) - \zeta(2-\varepsilon, z/L) - \zeta(2-\varepsilon, 1-z/L)],$$

where the functions $\zeta(a,x)$ are the Hurwitz zeta functions defined in (1). Finally, for the second square brackets in (31) we have

$$G_D(0;z,z) - G_b(0) = -G_b(2z) = -C(2z)^{-2+\varepsilon}$$

along with a similar expression inside the third brackets.

After giving all these prerequisites for the calculation, Symanzik [31, p. 12] just gave the final result (quoted in (39) below) for $c_1(\varepsilon)$ without referring to any details of the calculation. However, we find these details essential and consequently re-derive his result by using just the above formulas given in purely coordinate representation.[5]

To proceed, we express the first term on the right-hand side of (31) as

$$\tfrac{1}{8}\, g\mu^{\varepsilon}(I_{0,L} - 2I_{L,\infty})C^2, \tag{32}$$

where the related Feynman integrals $I_{0,L}$ and $I_{L,\infty}$ are labeled with respect to their integration ranges over z. These are given by

$$I_{L,\infty} = \int_L^\infty (2z)^{-4+2\varepsilon} dz = 2^{-4+2\varepsilon}\frac{L^{-3+2\varepsilon}}{3-2\varepsilon} = 2^{-4+2\varepsilon} L^{-3+2\varepsilon}\,\frac{1}{2\alpha-1} \qquad (\alpha > \tfrac{1}{2}) \tag{33}$$

and

$$I_{0,L} = 2^{-4+2\varepsilon} L^{-3+2\varepsilon} K(\alpha), \tag{34}$$

where

$$K(\alpha) = \int_0^1 \Big\{ [2\zeta(\alpha) - \zeta(\alpha,x) - \zeta(\alpha,1-x)]^2 - x^{-2\alpha} - (1-x)^{-2\alpha} \Big\}\, dx \quad (\alpha \in (1,2]) \tag{35}$$

with $x = z/L$.

For convenience we have introduced the notation $\alpha \equiv 2-\varepsilon$. This will facilitate the comparison with expressions of the preceding sections. Note that for $0 \le \varepsilon < 1$, which we consider here, $1 < \alpha \le 2$. The endpoint $\alpha = 2$ of the interval $\alpha \in (1,2]$ corresponds to the marginal value $\varepsilon = 0$. Keeping ε nonnegative means that we do not move in dimensions $d > 4$ where the underlying ϕ_d^4 theory becomes non-renormalizable. On the other hand, with the constraint $\alpha > 1$ all Hurwitz and Riemann zeta functions appearing in the present section are well defined in the classical sense of (1)–(8).

By construction, the improper integral $K(\alpha)$ is convergent and well defined (at least) in the whole region of the parameter α indicated in its definition (35), with

[5] Ten years after the paper by Symanzik [31], Krech and Dietrich [26] reproduced the coefficient $c_1(\varepsilon)$ by using the momentum representation along with dimensional and zeta regularizations.

smooth behavior in the limit $\alpha \to 2$. The finite value of $K(\alpha)$ at $\alpha = 2$ directly contributes to the value of the coefficient $c_1(\varepsilon)$ at $\varepsilon = 0$ given between (4.8) and (4.9) in [31].

The calculation of the integral $K(\alpha)$ defined by (35) is the main nontrivial task of the present section. In its integrand, we express each Hurwitz zeta function $\zeta(\alpha, y)$ in terms of the auxiliary function $\zeta_1(\alpha, y)$ with $y \mapsto x$ and $y \mapsto 1-x$. Thus, some straightforward algebra leads us to

$$K(\alpha) = -4\zeta^2(\alpha) + 2I(\alpha,\alpha) + 2J(\alpha,\alpha) + 2M(\alpha), \tag{36}$$

where the integrals $I(\alpha,\alpha)$ and $J(\alpha,\alpha)$ are those from (11) and (18) respectively, and

$$M(\alpha) = \tag{37}$$
$$\int_0^1 \Big\{ \left[x^{-\alpha} + (1-x)^{-\alpha} - 2\zeta(\alpha)\right] \left[\zeta_1(\alpha,x) + \zeta_1(\alpha,1-x) - 2\zeta(\alpha)\right] + x^{-\alpha}(1-x)^{-\alpha} \Big\} dx.$$

Note that the integral $M(\alpha)$ is completely symmetric with respect to the transformation $x \leftrightarrow 1-x$. From the fact that

$$\zeta_1(\alpha,x) = \zeta(\alpha) - \alpha x \zeta(\alpha+1) + O(x^2),$$
$$\zeta_1(\alpha,1-x) = \zeta(\alpha) - 1 + \alpha x [\zeta(\alpha+1) - 1] + O(x^2),$$

it follows that the integrand of M is $O(x^{2-\alpha}) + O(1)$ as $x \to 0$. By symmetry under the transformation $x \mapsto 1-x$ the behavior of the integrand is $O((1-x)^{2-\alpha}) + O(1)$ as $x \to 1$. Hence the integral $M(\alpha)$ converges for $1 < \alpha \leq 2$.

Substitution of all needed explicit evaluations of Sections 2 and 3 into (36) and (37) then yields

$$K(\alpha) = 4\zeta^2(\alpha) + 2\zeta(2\alpha-1) \Big\{ 2B(1-\alpha, 2\alpha-1) + B(1-\alpha, 1-\alpha) \Big\} + \frac{2}{2\alpha-1}. \tag{38}$$

Combining (31)–(34), (33), and (38) we obtain, after some straightforward algebra, the first-order contribution to the Casimir energy (29)

$$g(\mu L)^{\varepsilon} L^{-3+\varepsilon} c_1(\varepsilon) = \left\{ 2^{-9+2\varepsilon} \pi^{-4+\varepsilon} \Gamma^2(1-\varepsilon/2) g(\mu L)^{\varepsilon} L^{-3+\varepsilon} \right\}$$
$$\times \left\{ \zeta^2(\alpha) + (1-\cos\pi\alpha) B(1-\alpha, 2\alpha-1) \zeta(2\alpha-1) \right\}.$$

The numerical coefficient of this last equation with $\alpha = 2-\varepsilon$ then yields the coefficient $c_1(\varepsilon)$ given by

$$c_1(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2}(4\pi)^{-4+\varepsilon} \Gamma^2\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \left[\zeta^2(2-\varepsilon) + (1-\cos\pi\varepsilon) B(3-2\varepsilon, -1+\varepsilon) \zeta(3-2\varepsilon) \right] \tag{39}$$

for $\varepsilon \in [0,1)$, as stated in [31, p. 12].

As we mentioned before, this result has been obtained by other means in [26, Eq. (5.11)], in the context of statistical physics. There, the constant $c_1(0) = 2^{-11}/9$, see

[31, p. 12], enters the $O(\varepsilon)$ term of the ε expansion of the Casimir amplitude for a Dirichlet film in the n component $\phi^4_{4-\varepsilon}$ field theory [26, Eq. (5.16)],

$$\Delta^{\mathrm{DD}} = -n\frac{\pi^2}{1440}\left[1+\Big(\frac{\gamma-1}{2}+\ln(2\sqrt{\pi})-\frac{\zeta'(4)}{\zeta(4)}-\frac{5}{4}\frac{n+2}{n+8}\Big)\varepsilon\right]+O(\varepsilon^2)\,. \qquad (40)$$

Further ε expansion terms of $c_1(\varepsilon)$ would contribute to $O(\varepsilon^2)$ and higher order terms that follow in (40). Further generalizations and extensions of this approach can be found in [25, 9, 6].

5. Concluding remarks

In the present article we have studied the long-standing mathematical problem of calculating the definite integrals of products of two Hurwitz zeta functions, $\zeta_1(a,x)\zeta_1(b,y)$ with $a,b\in\mathbb{C}$ and $y=\{x,1-x\}\in[0,1]$. The problem statement goes back to the classical work of Koksma and Lekkerkerker [23], where it was formulated as a mean-value theorem for $\zeta_1(s,x)$ with $s=\sigma+it$ and with special emphasis on the behavior of the integral $\int_0^1|\zeta_1(s,x)|^2dx$ in the asymptotic limit $t\to\pm\infty$ when $\sigma=\frac{1}{2}$. In our notation, this problem statement would correspond to the choice of two complex conjugate parameters $a=b^*$. An illuminating survey of this problem and its numerous generalizations can be found in [28].

On the other hand, we noticed a close connection of the above mathematical problem with a possible way [31] of calculating the Feynman integrals in Euclidean ϕ^4 field theories considered in the space between two parallel planes. Here we considered the case of Dirichlet boundary conditions imposed on boundary planes, though systems with some other boundary conditions [26, 25] could be treated in a similar manner. In this context, the case of equal parameters $a=b$ in the zeta functions ζ_1 is of relevance. We recall that the results derived in Section 4 have been recorded by Symanzik in [31] without proof and the details of his calculation are not known. These results were reproduced by Krech and Dietrich in [26] in a different and much less involved way using the momentum representation of the same Feynman integrals. To the best of our knowledge, our derivation of (39) in terms of integrals of Hurwitz zeta functions is the first derivation of this formula carried out in this manner.

In doing this, we derived the known result for $I(a,b)$ in a way that apparently has not been employed by mathematicians for this integral. Also we needed an analogous result for $J(a,b)$, which has been considered to a lesser extent in the mathematical literature. Both these integrals were necessary to reconstruct the coefficient $c_1(\varepsilon)$ from [31, p. 12] in the main result (39) of Section 4. Our motivation for the calculations of Section 4 was to give a computational scheme for treating more complicated integrals of the same structure, where the functions in the integrand would be essentially more complicated but have the same analytical properties. Such Feynman integrals are needed in an eventual calculation of the next-to-leading, two-loop contribution to the Casimir amplitude for strongly anisotropic critical systems in a film geometry with Dirichlet-Dirichlet boundary conditions. The corresponding one-loop term has been calculated in [6, Sec. 5.3.2].

Finally, we mention that our non-trivial calculations of the integrals considered in this paper at integer $a = b := m \geq 2 \in \mathbb{N}$ appear to be of both academic and practical interest.

Appendix: Evaluation of $I(a,a)$ for integer $a \geq 2$

We write (16) when $a = b$ in the form

$$I(a,a) = \frac{1}{2a-1} - 2\,\frac{\Gamma(1-a)}{\Gamma(a)}\Big\{S(a) - \Gamma(2a-1)\zeta(2a-1)\Big\}, \tag{A.1}$$

where

$$S(a) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+a)}{\Gamma(n+2-a)}\,\{\zeta(a+n)-1\}$$

and the common factor $-2\Gamma(1-a)/\Gamma(a)$ has been extracted from the expression in braces. Use of the well-known result $\Gamma(n+\alpha)/\Gamma(n+\beta) \sim n^{\alpha-\beta}$ $(n \to \infty)$ shows that the sum $S(a)$ converges absolutely since the terms are controlled by $n^{2a-2}2^{-n}$ as $n \to \infty$.

Considering the sum $S(a)$ for integer $a = m \geq 2$, we observe that the function $\Gamma(n+2-m)$ in the denominator of $S(m)$ has non-positive integer arguments $n+2-m \leq 0$ and so becomes singular when $n \leq m-2$. This means that the corresponding part of the sum $S(m)$ vanishes, and the non-vanishing contributions to $S(m)$ come from the terms with $n \geq m-1$. Thus, upon application of (2) we have

$$S(m) = \sum_{n=m-1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+m)}{\Gamma(n+2-m)}\,\{\zeta(m+n)-1\} = \Gamma(2m-1)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(2m-1)_k}{k!}\,\zeta(2m-1+k,2).$$

Hence, by (3) with $a \mapsto 2m-1$, $b = 2$ and $z = 1$ we find

$$S(m) = \Gamma(2m-1)\zeta(2m-1). \tag{A.2}$$

Thus, the difference of terms in braces in (A.1) vanishes and the right-hand side of (A.1) is consequently regular at integer $a = m \geq 2$.

We now set $a = m+\varepsilon$, where $m = 2,3,\ldots$, in $S(a)$ and consider the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ using the small-parameter expansions (26) and (27). At the moment we have $\alpha = -\varepsilon$ in (27) and take only its pole part into account. By writing $S(a)$ as

$$S(m+\varepsilon) = \sum_{n=0}^{m-2}\frac{\Gamma(n+m+\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(-p-\varepsilon)}\{\zeta(n+m+\varepsilon)-1\} + \sum_{n=m-1}^{\infty}\frac{\Gamma(n+m+\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(q-\varepsilon)}\{\zeta(n+m+\varepsilon)-1\}$$

with $p := m-n-2 \geq 0$ and $q := n+2-m \geq 1$ and expanding to first order in ε, we find

$$S(a) = S(m) - \varepsilon\sum_{n=0}^{m-2}(-)^{m-n}(n+m-1)!\,(m-n-2)!\,\{\zeta(n+m)-1\} + \varepsilon\sum_{n=m-1}^{\infty}\frac{(n+m-1)!}{(n-m+1)!}\,\Upsilon_n(m) + O(\varepsilon^2),$$

where we have defined

$$\Upsilon_n(m) := \{\psi(n+m)+\psi(n+2-m)\}\{\zeta(n+m)-1\}+\zeta'(n+m). \tag{A.3}$$

Similarly, for the last term from (A.1) we have by (A.2)

$$\Gamma(2a-1)\zeta(2a-1) = S(m)+2\varepsilon\Gamma(2m-1)\Big\{\psi(2m-1)\zeta(2m-1)+\zeta'(2m-1)\Big\}+O(\varepsilon^2).$$

Finally, upon noting that the factor in braces in (A.1) is

$$\frac{\Gamma(1-a)}{\Gamma(a)} = \frac{(-)^m}{\varepsilon\,\Gamma^2(m)}\{1+O(\varepsilon)\},$$

we then arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} I(m,m) = \frac{1}{2m-1} &+ \frac{2(-)^m}{\Gamma^2(m)}\left\{2\Gamma(2m-1)Z(m) - \sum_{n=m-1}^{\infty}\frac{(n+m-1)!}{(n-m+1)!}\Upsilon_n(m)\right\} \\ &+ \frac{2}{\Gamma^2(m)}\sum_{n=0}^{m-2}(-)^n\,(n+m-1)!\,(m-n-2)!\,\{\zeta(n+m)-1\}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

where $Z(m) := \psi(2m-1)\zeta(2m-1)+\zeta'(2m-1)$.

The infinite sum in (A.4) can be simplified by removing the term $\zeta'(n+m)$ contained in $\Upsilon_n(m)$. If we differentiate the well-known result obtained from (2) with $b=2$, $z=1$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+k)}{k!}\{\zeta(\alpha+k)-1\} = \Gamma(\alpha)$$

with respect to α, we find that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+k)}{k!}\,\zeta'(\alpha+k) = \Gamma(\alpha)\psi(\alpha)\zeta(\alpha) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+k)}{k!}\,\psi(\alpha+k)\{\zeta(\alpha+k)-1\}.$$

Then, the contribution from the part of the sum containing $\zeta'(n+m)$ in (A.4) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=m-1}^{\infty}\frac{(n+m-1)!}{(n-m+1)!}\,\zeta'(n+m) \\ &\quad= \Gamma(2m-1)\zeta'(2m-1) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\Gamma(2m-1+k)}{k!}\,\zeta'(2m-1+k) \\ &\quad= \Gamma(2m-1)Z(m) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\Gamma(2m-1+k)}{k!}\,\psi(2m-1+k)\{\zeta(2m-1+k)-1\} \\ &\quad= \Gamma(2m-1)Z(m) - \sum_{n=m-1}^{\infty}\frac{(n+m-1)!}{(n-m+1)!}\,\psi(n+m)\{\zeta(n+m)-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Upon substitution of this evaluation in (A.4) we arrive at the result stated in (17) in Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

[1] J. ANDERSSON, *Mean value properties of the Hurwitz zeta-function*, Math. Scand., **71** (1992), 295 – 300.

[2] T. M. APOSTOL, *Introduction to analytic number theory*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.

[3] T. ARAKAWA, T. IBUKIYAMA, M. KANEKO, AND D. ZAGIER, *Bernoulli numbers and zeta functions*, Springer, 2014.

[4] F. V. ATKINSON, *The mean-value of the Riemann zeta function*, Acta Mathematica, **81**, 1 (1949), 353–376.

[5] M. BORDAG, G. L. KLIMCHITSKAYA, U. MOHIDEEN, AND V. M. MOSTEPANENKO, *Advances in the Casimir effect*, Oxford University Press, 2009.

[6] M. BURGSMÜLLER, H. W. DIEHL, AND M. A. SHPOT, *Fluctuation-induced forces in strongly anisotropic critical systems*, JSTAT, **2010** (2010), P11020, Erratum: JSTAT E05001 (2011).

[7] H. B. G. CASIMIR, *On the attraction between two perfectly conducting plates*, Proc. K. Ned. Akad. Wet., **B51** (1948), 793–795.

[8] D. CVIJOVIĆ AND H. M. SRIVASTAVA, *Evaluations of some classes of the trigonometric moment integrals*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **351**, 1 (2009), 244 – 256.

[9] H. W. DIEHL, D. GRÜENEBERG, AND M. A. SHPOT, *Fluctuation-induced forces in periodic slabs: Breakdown of epsilon expansion at the bulk critical point and revised field theory*, Europhys. Lett., **75**, 2 (2006), 241–247.

[10] E. ELIZALDE, *Ten physical applications of spectral zeta functions*, Lecture Notes in Physics, vol. m35, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg et. al, 2012.

[11] O. ESPINOSA AND V. H. MOLL, *On some integrals involving the Hurwitz zeta function: Part 1*, The Ramanujan Journal, **6**, 2 (2002), 159 – 188.

[12] ———, *On some integrals involving the Hurwitz zeta function: Part 2*, The Ramanujan Journal, **6**, 4 (2002), 449 – 468.

[13] S. R. FINCH, *Mathematical constants*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.

[14] S. HU, D. KIM, AND M-S. KIM, *On some integrals involving the Hurwitz-type Euler zeta functions*, arXiv:1508.04084 (2015).

[15] A. HURWITZ, *Einige Eigenschaften der Dirichletschen Functionen* $F(s) = \sum \left(\frac{D}{n}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{s}$ *die bei der Bestimmung der Klassenzahlen binärer quadratischer Formen auftreten*, Z. Math. Phys., **27** (1882), 86–101.

[16] S. KANEMITSU, H. KUMAGAI, AND M. YOSHIMOTO, *Sums involving the Hurwitz zeta function*, The Ramanujan Journal, **5** (2001), 1, 5–19.

[17] S. KANEMITSU, Y. TANIGAWA, AND M. YOSHIMOTO, *Structural elucidation of the mean square of the Hurwitz zeta-function*, J. Number Theory, **120**, 1 (2006), 101 – 119.

[18] A. A. KARATSUBA AND S. M. VORONIN, *The Riemann zeta-function*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1992.

[19] M. KARDAR AND R. GOLESTANIAN, *The "friction" of vacuum, and other fluctuation-induced forces*, Rev. Mod. Phys., **71**, 4 (1999), 1233–1245.

[20] M. KATSURADA AND K. MATSUMOTO, *Explicit formulas and asymptotic expansions for certain mean square of Hurwitz zeta-functions*, Proc. Japan Acad., **69 Ser. A** (1993), 303–307.

[21] ———, *Explicit formulas and asymptotic expansions for certain mean square of Hurwitz zeta-functions I*, Math. Scand., **78** (1996), 161–177.

[22] ———, *Explicit formulas and asymptotic expansions for certain mean square of Hurwitz zeta-functions II*, New Trends in Probability and Statistics, **4** (1997), 119–134.

[23] J. F. KOKSMA AND C. G. LEKKERKERKER, *A mean-value theorem for* $\zeta(s,w)$, Indag. Math. (Proceedings), **55** (1952), 446 – 452.

[24] U. KRAEMMER AND A. REBHAN, *Advances in perturbative thermal field theory*, Rep. Prog. Phys., **67**, 3 (2004), 351–431.

[25] M. KRECH, *Casimir effect in critical systems*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1994.

[26] M. KRECH AND S. DIETRICH, *Free energy and specific heat of critical films and surfaces*, Phys. Rev. A, **46**, 4 (1992), 1886–1922.

[27] H.-L. LI, M. HASHIMOTO, AND S. KANEMITSU, *Examples of the Hurwitz transform*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **61**, 3 (2009), 651–660.

[28] K. MATSUMOTO, *Recent developments in the mean square theory of the Riemann zeta and other zeta-functions*, Number Theory (R. P. Bambah, V. C. Dumir, and R. J. Hans-Gill, eds.), Birkhäuser Basel, Basel, 2000, pp. 241–286.
[29] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, and C. W. Clark (eds.), *NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
[30] H. M. SRIVASTAVA AND J. CHOI, *Zeta and q-zeta functions and associated series and integrals*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2012.
[31] K. SYMANZIK, *Schrödinger representation and Casimir effect in renormalizable quantum field theory*, Nucl. Phys. B, **190** (1981), 1–44.
[32] E. T. WHITTAKER AND G. N. WATSON, *A course of modern analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London, and New York, 1996.

M. A. Shpot, Institute for Condensed Matter Physics, 79011 Lviv, Ukraine
e-mail: shpot.mykola@gmail.com

M. P. Chaudhary, Department of Mathematics, International Scientific Research and Welfare Organization, New Delhi, 110018 India
e-mail: dr.m.p.chaudhary@gmail.com

R. B. Paris, Division of Computing and Mathematics, University of Abertay Dundee, Dundee DD1 1HG, UK
e-mail: r.paris@abertay.ac.uk

Corresponding Author: R. B. Paris